

# Course Evaluation Surveys at Pacific Dugoni: Guidelines

Î

Office of Academic Affairs



Arthur. A Dugoni School of Dentistry



University of the Pacific

## Contact

Karinne Patchett Coordinator | Office of Academic Affairs <u>kpatchett@pacific.edu</u>

Meixun Sinky Zheng Director, Educational Innovation & Assessment | Office of Academic Affairs <u>szheng@pacific.edu</u> UNIVERSITY OF THE

School of Dentistry

Arthur A

Cindy Lyon Associate Dean for Oral Health Education | Office of Academic Affairs cylon@pacific.edu



## Purposes

- Formative data to maintain and improve teaching effectiveness
- Summative data to evaluate teaching effectiveness

Feedback for:

- Professional development
- Promotion/tenure review
- Program development
- Program evaluation



## Survey types

- Platform: Qualtrics
- 4 types of surveys
  - Didactic courses (lectures, case-based seminars)
  - Preclinical/lab courses
  - Clinical rotation courses
  - Clinical faculty (e.g., faculty in the group practices)
    - We do NOT evaluate clinical "courses". We evaluate clinical "faculty".



## Survey types

Courses that have both didactic and lab components

- Two separate surveys
- Didactic portion  $\rightarrow$  didactic course survey
- Preclinical portion  $\rightarrow$  preclinical/lab course survey



## Evaluation schedule

- Didactic, preclinical, and clinical rotation courses
  - Evaluated at the end (last quarter) of the course
  - Evaluated every three (3) years by default

- Clinical faculty
  - Evaluated in Autumn quarter only
  - Survey distributed in early January



### Evaluation schedule

- New courses or courses that have a new course director might have an adjusted evaluation schedule.
  - Determined by the course director and department chair
- Department chairs and academic dean might make recommendations for specific courses to be evaluated.



## Special requests

Individual faculty who would like to make special requests for course evaluation:

- Please discuss your needs with your department chair.
- With department chair approval, we will try our best to accommodate.



### In the next section, we will:

• First, review the process of didactic, preclinical, and clinical rotation course evaluation.

• Then, review the process of clinical faculty evaluation.



Step 1: Each quarter, OAA reviews the catalog to identify courses that end in that quarter. Those that have not been evaluated in the recent years are eligible for evaluation in that quarter.

Examples:

- BMS 101 ends in Autumn 2023; last evaluated in Autumn 2020 → eligible for evaluation in Autumn 2023.
- BMS 202 ends in Autumn 2023; last evaluated in Autumn 2021 → eligible for evaluation in Autumn 2024.



Step 2: All eligible courses are entered into a Google Sheet, which is shared with the course directors in week 6. Course directors submit the following information:

- Co-instructors to be evaluated
- To improve response rate, it is <u>encouraged</u> to give students time during class to take the survey. Therefore, course directors also provide this information:
  - In which week (week 9 or 10) they want students to take the survey
  - Day and time of class

\*\*\* For courses that do not have in-class meetings in week 9 or 10, students can take it out of class. But survey response rate might be lower  $\rightarrow$  biased data.

Due: End of week 7. Courses that don't submit the information by this deadline will be evaluated in week 10.



Step 3: OAA set up surveys based on information course directors submitted.

Step 4: Students receive the survey link in their Pacific email. The survey is sent from Qualtrics and the sender is "Office of Academic Affairs".

- Distributed 5-10 mins prior to class.
- Open for 3-4 weeks.
  - Summer, Autumn, and Winter: week 9 or 10  $\rightarrow$  1 week into the next quarter.
  - Spring: week 9 or 10  $\rightarrow$  1 week into the summer break.
- Automatic survey reminders are scheduled for each survey. Students who have not taken the survey will receive an email from Qualtrics, reminding them to take it.



Step 5: OAA runs survey reports and prepare a redacted copy for each co-instructor.

#### <u>Course directors receive:</u>

- The full report: ratings for all co-instructors evaluated.
- A redacted, personal report.

#### <u>Co-instructors receive:</u>

• A redacted, personal report.

\*\*\*All survey reports are shared with the department chair and academic dean.



#### Step 6: OAA emails the redacted reports to faculty evaluated.

- As there are many co-instructors evaluated and a redacted copy needs to be prepared for each of them, the redaction process might take a few weeks.
- We will try our best to distribute the survey reports to you as soon as they become available. Our goal is to have all reports distributed by the end of week 5 of the subsequent quarter.



## Clinical faculty evaluation

## Step 1: Towards the end of the Autumn quarter, OAA sends a Google Sheet to COH and PRD chairs.

- COH chair: submit two (2) COH faculty for each group practice to be evaluated.
- PRD chair: submit two (2) PRD faculty for reach group practice to be evaluated.

Note:

- Only evaluate a subset of faculty: keep the list manageable for students.
- Department chairs might evaluate a different subset of clinical faculty each year.



## Clinical faculty evaluation

Step 2: The survey is distributed to students in the first week of Winter Quarter (January).

- Survey is open for 3 weeks.
- To improve response rate, group practice leaders are <u>encouraged</u> to find a time for students to take the survey.



## Clinical faculty evaluation

# Step 3: OAA runs the survey reports and prepare a redacted copy for each faculty.

#### <u>Group practice leaders receive:</u>

- The full report: ratings for co-instructors in <u>your</u> group practice.
- A redacted, personal report.

#### Other faculty receive:

• A redacted, personal report.

\*\*\* All survey reports are shared with the department chair and academic dean.